

Information No. 12-0529.

ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

v.

DEREK DUNLOP

P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE C.R. HARRIS
on January 8, 2013 at COLLINGWOOD, Ontario

APPEARANCES:

M. Minns

Counsel for the Crown

30 D. Dunlop

Self-Represented

TUESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2013

COURT OPENS...

THE COURT: Thank you. Derek Dunlop, good morning, afternoon I guess it is now.

DEREK DUNLOP: Good afternoon.

5 THE COURT: This matter was pre-tried at some length recently. You've been in custody for a long time and fortunately dates fairly soon have been obtained, and Mr. Minns, the consensus was at the pre-trial that there'd be a day required for motions and two days for a trial. So these dates are going to be in Barrie all before one judge, February 19 at 9:30 for motions, and March 19 and 20 for trial.

10 COURTROOM CLERK: Twenty-one - nineteen and twenty-one.

15 MR. MINNS: Nineteen and twenty-one.

THE COURT: What happened? I've got 19, 20, 21, and 22.

20 MR. MINNS: Those are the four days that were offered and the dates...

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MINNS: ...that, Mr. Temple I think has carriage of the matter,...

25 THE COURT: Okay

MR. MINNS: ...are March 19th and 21st.

THE COURT: So March 19 and 21.

30 MR. MINNS: I should just indicate, my - when we're speaking the other day about the motions and whatnot, my understanding from the - what I've read, and again I've just gone by some e-mail traffic in relation to this, is that the

2.
R. v. Dunlop

day - the motions day is for the purpose of a Crown application to appoint counsel for the purpose of cross-examining Crown witnesses on Mr. Dunlop's behalf.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Dunlop has a witness too.

MR. MINNS: But the - and I'm not sure what those motions are....

THE COURT: They're all - they're all attached.

MR. MINNS: All right, and the expectation being again those are - my understanding is those motions, whatever they are, don't necessarily involve the evidence if you will. In other words, they're motions that - that don't require witnesses to be there. So I....

THE COURT: So let's say February 19, everybody's motions March 19 and March 21 throughout.

MR. MINNS: And my indication is that the - the witness availability is March 19th and 21st. I'm working on the assumption that the motions can be accomplished without witnesses as far as I'm aware.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much.

COURTROOM CLERK: All rise.

DEREK DUNLOP: I'd like to speak, Your Honour, since nobody has allowed me to speak.

COURTROOM CLERK: Court is adjourned.

THE COURT: I think we're done for the day.

DEREK DUNLOP: I think this is the biggest case of collusion, corruption and cover-ups, and if somebody doesn't come clean - no, no, no, listen to me.

3.
R. v. Dunlop

MR. MINNS: Mr. Dunlop.

M A T T E R A D J O U R N E D

5
10
15
20
25
30

FORM 2
Certificate of Transcript
Evidence Act, subsection 5 (2)

5 I, Diane Robertson, certify that
(Please print name of authorized person(s))

this document is a true and accurate transcript of the recording of _____

R. v. Dunlop in the Ontario Court of Justice
(Case name) (Name of Court)

10 held at Collingwood, Ontario on January 8, 2013 taken from Recording No.
(Court address)

3814-001-2013-01-08 which have been certified in Form 1.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

60

65

70

75

80

85

90

95

100

May 13, 2013
(Date)


(Signature of authorized person)

Photostatic copies of this transcript are not certified and have not been paid for unless they bear the original signature of DIANE ROBERTSON in red ink, and accordingly are in direct violation of the Administration of Justice Act, Ontario Regulation 587/91 as amended to O.Reg.135/94.

Transcript Ordered: May 8, 2013
Transcript Completed: May 13, 2013
Ordering Party Notified: May 15, 2013

